THE EFFECT OF VOCAL DISGUISE ON SOME VOWEL FORMANT FREQUENCIES

Lynda Penny

Department of Speech Pathology Flinders University of South Australia

Ronnie Grace and Karly Winkler

Heathfield High School South Australia

ABSTRACT - The effect of assuming a vocal disguise on the formant frequencies of some vowels is examined. The material used was that of an authentic vocal line-up in which the identity of the speaker was in question. The subjects assumed commonly adopted vocal disguises

INTRODUCTION

People who feel that their personal safety or the security of their property is at risk may employ a recording device (video- or audio-) which may firstly act as a deterrent or later as an aid in apprehending an assailant, should the threat be realised. Recording instruments may also be used by the police and other agencies in surveillance, in the investigation and prevention of crime. Of course people whose activities may be the subject of such recordings are often aware of the possibility and may assume a disguise to hide their identity. Recordings may be made surreptitiously, in less than ideal conditions and may be of such poor quality that the identity of the speaker (and the content of the message) may be open to doubt. So it is that the testimony of the expert witness is called upon, to resolve uncertainty, to penetrate the disguise and validate suspicions as to the identity of the perpetrator of an action. The examination of objective recordings by expert witnesses is attractive in forensic work, since studies into the reliability of eyewitness (and earwitness) accounts, even when these are available, are not reassuring. Whether experts are in fact able to extract patterns from the speech signal which reliably identify a speaker who is attempting to avoid identification is a question still to be answered. This paper is a preliminary attempt to identify what happens to some aspects of the speech signal, namely the formants of some vowels, when a vocal disquise is adopted. Vowel formants were selected for measurement as they are such an obvious feature of the spectrogram, and because a great deal is known about them in natural speech. In particular, it was of interest to see whether the upper formants (F3 and F4) remained more or less constant over disguise, as these are usually assumed to reflect in part personal characteristics of the speaker, such as bone density and the shape of bony cavities, and should therefore resist major changes brought about by altering vocal tract shape in the course of assuming a disguise.

SUBJECTS

Two healthy young adults, one female and one male, both native born speakers of general Australian English prepared a tape for analysis.

MATERIALS

The subjects read text which had been the material used in an authentic 'voice line-up':-

I'll have that thanks.
Money in the bag.
I want to buy a bracelet for my wife.
I don't want one with a padlock.
Can I have a look at the diamond rings.
Don't be silly.
Get the pads and put them in the bag, and the money.
Put the money in the bag.

PROCEDURE

The subjects read the text in their normal voice, (N), assuming natural, if somewhat urgent, intonation.

Then in turn they adopted six vocal disguises which were selected to copy what people commonly do when disguising their voice. It was also hoped that the disguises would impact on vowel formants in clear-cut ways, so that the 'take-up' of the independent variable on the dependent variable could easily be seen. The disguises were:-

Helmet (Hm) A motor-bike rider's full helmet, very well stuffed, was worn in an effort to dampen resonances from the sinuses and bony structures of the face and skull. It was predicted that this might affect the higher formants more than the lower.

Foreign accent (A)

The subjects adopted a 'foreign accent' that they felt comfortable with. In the case of the young woman this was a Southern US. accent and in the case of the young man it was a Scottish accent. It was simply assumed that the vocal tract resonances would be changed with the imitation of different vocal patterns.

Stairwell (S) Subjects bellowed into the microphone in a highly echoing concrete stairwell that extended up 4 floors. The common use of mobile telephones makes sending messages from such a location possible. It was assumed that the extra effort involved in making the transmission would after all formants.

Helium (He) The well known effect of helium on the voice was extended to include an examination of its effects on vowel formants. Subjects inhaled by mouth the contents of a helium-filled balloon.

Creaky voice (C) After practice, the subjects assumed creaky voice (vocal fry) which dramatically changes fundamental frequency, though it is not entirely clear what effect this has on formants.

Tube (T) The subjects talked down a thick-walled hard cardboard tube, 2.5cm in internal diameter and 21.5cm long, thus effectively more than doubling the length of their vocal tract and consequently introducing additional formants.

EQUIPMENT

The tapes were made with a Sony DAT portable tape recorder and a Sony microphone, ECM-959DT.

The formants were measured from spectrograms displayed by the Kay DSP5500 Spectrograph. Single target vowels in stressed position for which at least two tokens were available were selected for measurement. Mean values were calculated for these tokens.

RESULTS

Four vowels, /æ/, /ʌ/, /ɪ/, /u/ met the criteria for inclusion. The results for each subject are displayed in Tables 1 and 2

DISCUSSION

Formant values for each subject's normal voice are compatible with the ranges suggested by Bernard (Bernard and Mannell, 1986) for men and by Penny (Penny, 1992) for women. Comparing formant values in disguised voice against normal voice it can be seen that:-

- 1. The helmet condition changes things very little.
- 2. For Subject 1 (young woman) the assumption of a foreign accent (Southern US) spread the range of values with F3 and F4 considerable higher. For S2 (young man) a Scottish accent produced a generally downward shift in F1 and very little change in F2, F3 or F4.
- 3. The stairwell condition elevated F1 but there is no clear effect discernible on the other formants.

| Table 1: Subject 1(f | female) Formant values | for natural voice and | 6 voice disguises |
|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Manager | | | |

| VOWEL / | vower /æ/ | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| F1 F2 F3 F4 F5 | N 756 1888 2800 4004 | Hm 672 1792 2760 3832 | A 728 2028 3184 4480 | 804 1952 3096 4296 | He 1000 1796 2504 3125 | C 888 1952 3234 4688 | T 632 1216 1888 2708 4128 | | |
| Vowel / | J | | | | | | | | |
| F1 F2 F3 F4 F5 | N 780 1680 2960 4140 | Hm 700 1680 2760 4140 | A 540 1600 3600 4980 | S 780 1460 3100 4140 | He 750 1350 1960 2410 | C 1000 2320 3620 5160 | T 630 1070 1480 2540 3360 | | |
| Vowel / | 1 / | | | | | | | | |
| F1 F2 F3 F4 F5 | N 430 2260 2960 3820 | Hm 540 2220 3000 3960 | A 480 2800 3240 4460 | S 500 1660 2780 4020 | He 540 1030 2610 2890 | C 920 2020 3800 5295 | T 500 1200 2120 2760 3880 | | |
| Vowel /u/ | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 F2 F3 F4 F5 | N 490 1420 2360 3570 | Hm 580 1360 2420 3420 | A 520 1620 3080 4340 | S 580 1440 2020 2880 | He 610 1220 1960 3190 | 900 2120 3260 4780 | T 520 1030 1360 2420 3490 | | |

Subject 2 (male) Formant values for natural voice and six voice disguises

| Vowel /æ/ | | | | | | | |
|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | N | Hm | Α | S | He | С | T |
| F1 | 625 | 660 | 668 | 732 | 884 | 732 | 652 |
| F2 | 1588 | 1636 | 1192 | 1688 | 1294 | 1572 | 1464 |
| F3 | 2836 | 2620 | 2792 | 2860 | 2964 | 2932 | 2076 |
| F4 | 3636 | 3580 | 3564 | 4184 | 2892 | 3644 | 2772 |
| F5 | | | | | | ; | 3628 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Vowel / | | | | | | | |
| | N | Hm | Α | S | He | С | T |
| F1 | 640 | 640 | 550 | 670 | 750 | 1000 | 610 |
| F2 | 1410 | 1410 | 1390 | 1470 | 1350 | 2320 | 1310 |
| F3 | 3000 | 3000 | 2660 | 2910 | 1960 | 3620 | 2040 |
| F4 | 3590 | 3870 | 3400 | 4220 | 2410 | 5160 | 2850 |
| F5 | | | | | | | 3656 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Vowel / | | | | _ | | _ | _ |
| | N | Hm | Α | S | He | С | T |
| F1 | 440 | 440 | 420 | 560 | 540 | 920 | 400 |
| F2 | 2000 | 1980 | 1920 | 1560 | 1030 | 2020 | 1940 |
| F3 | 2570 | 2870 | 2670 | 2700 | 2610 | 3800 | 2100 |
| F4 | 3690 | 3690 | 3785 | 4080 | 1960 | 5295 | 3130 |
| F5 | | | | | | | 3880 |

Table 2 cont

| Vowel | /u/ | | | | | | |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | N | Hm | Α | S | He | С | T |
| F1 | 480 | 480 | 410 | 500 | 550 | 460 | 510 |
| F2 | 1270 | 1270 | 1520 | 1470 | 1120 | 1370 | 1210 |
| F3 | 2740 | 2740 | 2720 | 2560 | 1670 | 2260 | 2020 |
| F4 | 3570 | 3570 | 3620 | 3620 | 3110 | 3570 | 2730 |
| F5 | | | | | | | 3550 |

DISCUSSION (cont)

- 4. Helium seems to have had an elevating effect on F1 and F2 but a depressing effect on F3 and F4.
- 5. Creaky voice has the rather surprising effect of elevating all formants.
- 6. The tube, by effectively increasing the length of the vocal tract, changes its resonating characteristics markedly. A second formant is introduced lower than the natural F2, and F3, F4, and F5 are all lower, as would be expected.

CONCLUSION

If you wish to disguise your voice, and assuming that an expert phonetician will pay regard to formant frequencies, use a tube to extend the length of your vocal tract. Do not bother with a helmet. There is no evidence that F3 and F4 resist change more than the other formants.

REFERENCES

Barnard, J.R.L. & Mannell, R.H. (1986) A study of /h-d/ words in Australian English in Working Papers, a report of speech, hearing and language research in progress (School of English and Linguistics, Macquarie University: Sydney)

Penny, L. (1992) Acoustic measurements of the diphthongs of women speakers of general Australian English Proceedings of the Fourth Australian International Conference on Speech Science and Technology, (ASSTA: Canberra)

ENDNOTE

This project was arranged as part of the C.S.I.R.O. Young Scientist' programme. The organisers are to be thanked for introducing two young and enthusiastic students to the field of speech science.

ON-LINE SPEAKER ADAPTATION FOR HMM BASED SPEECH RECOGNISERS

B. Watson

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Queensland

ABSTRACT — An investigation of a gradient-descent based training technique was performed for the on-line adaptation of hidden Markov models to new speakers in a speech recognition system. It was found to be successful for supervised speaker adaptation, improving the recognition performance on a 46 word task (alphabet, digits and control words) from 88.0% to 93.2% after adaptation with nine repetitions of each word. Unsupervised adaptation on the same task was unsuccessful. However, for an easier 20 word vocabulary, unsupervised adaptation improved the recognition performance from 97.7% to 99.0%.

INTRODUCTION

The Baum-Welch re-estimation procedure for hidden Markov models (HMMs) directly maximises the likelihood of the training observations given the model. It is suited to the batch estimation of model parameters. In order to update models as new data becomes available, a smooth on-line learning algorithm is desirable. Baldi and Chauvin have proposed such an algorithm, and have experimented with its use for HMM training in a molecular biology problem (Baldi et al., 1993). Baldi and Chauvin's approach to HMM training is based on the use of a gradient descent algorithm. Suppose we wish to maximise some function \mathcal{L} , that is dependent on a model parameter x. We can do this by calculating the gradient of the objective function \mathcal{L} , and making a small change (Δx) to the value of the parameter based on this. The value of the parameter x_n after training step n is:

$$x^n = x^{n-1} + \Delta x^n$$

where Δx^n is calculated using the partial derivative of the objective function with respect to the parameter, $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x}$, evaluated at value x^n . That is:

$$\Delta x^{n} = \mu \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} \right|_{x^{n-1}} + \eta \Delta x^{n-1}$$

 μ is a learning rate parameter, which controls the size of the parameter changes between training steps. It will affect both the speed of convergence, and the stability of the model parameter estimates. A momentum parameter, η , can also be introduced into the update calculations, in order to allow the use of a larger learning rate parameter, while still maintaining consistent updates, as it can provide partial averaging over the training observations (Hertz et al., 1991).

Consider an N state discrete output HMM with M possible outputs. Let the state of the model at time t be denoted as s_t , and the output at time t be denoted as o_t . The model parameters are: initial state probabilities, $a_{ij} = Pr(s_1 = i)$; transition probabilities, $a_{ij} = Pr(s_{t+1} = j|s_t = i)$; and discrete output probabilities, $b_{ik} = Pr(o_t = k|s_t = i)$. The parameters of the HMM will be referred to collectively as λ . That is, $\lambda = \{A, B, \pi\}$, where A, B, and π , are the sets of transition, output, and initial state probabilities, respectively. Because all of these parameters are probabilities, when summed over the appropriate range, they will sum to 1.

For the HMM, we wish to update the model corresponding to a particular utterance, O, so that it maximises the likelihood of the model producing that utterance, $L = Pr(O|\lambda)$. Gradient descent on the log-likelihood is numerically better conditioned than gradient descent on the likelihood (Levinson et al., 1983) so the log-likelihood was used as the objective function for model training.

For both the transition and output probabilities, a normalised-exponential representation is introduced, to ensure that as probabilities they will have values between 0 and 1, and sum over the appropriate ranges to 1. The transition and output probabilities are written in terms of new parameters, w_{ij} and v_{ij} respectively, which are the values before normalisation:

$$a_{ij} = \frac{e^{\varphi w_{ij}}}{\sum_{k} e^{\varphi w_{ik}}}$$

$$b_{ij} = \frac{e^{\varphi v_{ij}}}{\sum_{k} e^{\varphi v_{ik}}}$$

 φ is a parameter of the normalisation function which, can be absorbed into the learning rate.

The derivative of the log-likelihood with respect to w_{ij} and v_{ij} can then be derived (see (Baldi and Chauvin, 1994)) so that updates can be calculated. The derivatives are:

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial w_{ij}} = \varphi[\sum_{t} \gamma_{t,i,j} - \sum_{t} \gamma_{t,i} a_{ij}] \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial v_{ij}} = \varphi[\sum_{i} \xi_{t,i,j} - \sum_{i} \gamma_{t,i} b_{ij}]$$
 (2)

where $\gamma_{t,i,j} = Pr(s_t = i, s_{t+1} = j | O, \lambda)$, $\gamma_{t,i} = Pr(s_t = i | O, \lambda)$, and $\xi_{t,i,j} = Pr(s_t = i, o_t = j | O, \lambda)$. These values can be computed using the forward and backward algorithms.

SUPERVISED ADAPTATION

Although our main interest in the proposed gradient descent technique is for on-line unsupervised learning, we began by analysing its behaviour for supervised adaptation of speech recognition models in order to determine: what models constitute the best prototype models, which are to be used as the starting point for speaker adaptation; how the performance of the adaptation algorithm depends on the amount of adaptation data; and what values of the learning rate and momentum parameters give the best results.

Experiments were performed on the Texas Instruments 46 word speech database. This database contains recordings from sixteen speakers - eight male and eight female. Each speaker has uttered the letters of the alphabet, the digits from zero to nine, and ten "control" type words (enter, erase, go, help, no, rubout, repeat, stop, start, yes). The data from each speaker has been divided into a training set of nine or ten utterances, and a test set of sixteen utterances of each word.

The TI-46 database was selected for experimentation because it represents a small but challenging task. Accurate alphabet recognition is difficult because the vocabulary contains a number of highly confusable words such as the e-set (B, C, D, E, G, P, T, V, Z (for American English)).

The speech waveforms from the TI-46 database were processed in a standard manner for input to the HMM recogniser. The speech waveforms in the database were sampled at 12.5 kHz with 12-bit quantisation. These waveforms were segmented into frames of 512 samples (40 milliseconds) with a new frame starting every 128 samples (10 milliseconds). The samples were pre-emphasised with a filter whose transform function is $1-0.95z^{-1}$, and Hamming windowed. For each frame, twelfth order LPC cepstral coefficients were calculated, along with first and second order delta coefficients.

Left-to-right hidden Markov models with ten states were used to model each of the vocabulary words. Supervised adaptation of each of the models, for each speaker, was performed by using each training utterance to update the relevant model, using values computed according to equations (1) and (2). In figure 1, the recognition performance following supervised adaptation is presented as a function of the learning rate and the amount of adaptation data used. The results presented were obtained when the starting models were speaker independent, but had only been trained using the data from the other speakers of the same gender as the target speaker. Genderless speaker independent models were observed to give recognition performances approximately 1% lower than those obtained using gender specific models. However, in both cases the adaptation improved the recognition performance substantially.

Adapting from the gender based speaker independent models, with a learning rate of between 0.18 and 0.26, the recognition performance improved from 88.0% to 93.2% following adaptation using nine